Enhancing educational Robotics lab practices for the Mitsubishi RV-M1 manipulator using dSpace and open architecture
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ABSTRACT:
This paper describes the replacement of a manufacturer-provided closed architecture controller in a widely academic used robot: Movemaster RVM1. The original controller was replaced for five low cost in-house designed analog servoamplifiers. They are commanded by an analog input within the range ±10V to perform control of DC motors using PWM signals. These analog signals come from six 16-bit A/D ports integrated in a dSpace control card. dSpace was selected because of its easy integration with Matlab and Simulink, a de-facto standard in Robotics education. The new open architecture was tested with a gravity compensation control strategy for tool position. The satisfactory results of the control strategy implementation suggest the robot capability has been enhanced. In addition, further implementation of other serial manipulator control laws might be achieved.
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RESUMEN:
Este artículo describe el reemplazo de un controlador de arquitectura cerrada suministrado originalmente por el fabricante para un robot ampliamente usado en la academia: Movemaster RV-M1. El controlador original fue remplazado por cinco servoamplificadores análogos de bajo costo que fueron construidos en el laboratorio, comandados por una entrada análoga de rango ±10V para control de motores DC usando modulación del ancho de pulso. Estas señales análogas provienen de seis puertos de 16 bit A/D, integrados en una tarjeta de control dSpace, escogida por su facilidad de integración con Matlab y Simulink, un estándar de-facto en la educación de la Robótica. La nueva estructura fue evaluada con una estrategia de compensación por gravedad para posición de la herramienta. Los resultados satisfactorios en la implementación de la estrategia de control sugieren que la capacidad del robot ha sido mejorada, permitiendo además la posterior implementación de diversas estrategias de control de manipuladores seriales.
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1. Introduction

PC-based open robot control systems arise from the lack of flexibility in the most of “control-boxes” provided by the robot manufacturers. These “black-boxes” allow, in the most cases, point-to-point pick and place tasks with no possibility of testing nonlinear control strategies such as those commonly thought in a course of Robotics. Early works in this field report open architecture in order to allow teleoperation of robots from desktop or laptop computers via world-wide web (Goldberg, et al., 1995), and open architecture for manufacturing tasks (Fiedler & Schlib, 1998). A PUMA 560 robot was retrofitted with interface boards that allowed it to connect it to a standard PC with excellent tracking results (Costescu, et al., 1998), and generalized architecture proposals for PC open robots programming in industrial applications were made (Topper & Eng, 1991) with latter applications to SCARA (Hong, et al., 2001; González, et al., 2004), Movemaster-EX (Pan & Huang, 2004) and BOSCH SR-800 (Slawinski, et al., 2007) robots.

More recently, Farooq and Wang (2007) and Farooq, Wand and Dar (2007) integrated PC based (open architecture) controller for a real time control of the PUMA robot six joints, using pulse width modulation amplifiers and programming written in VC++. Implementation of open architecture xPC Target real time based robot controllers have been also done for industrial and research manipulators: Staubli RX-90 (Mihelj & Munih, 2010). xPC Target has been also used with FPGA I/O boards to interact with the controller of a RRR serial manipulator and outside environment (Pinzón-Ardila, Ángel & Useche, 2011), and even for real time control of the Stewart platform (Ordóñez & Rodríguez, 2012). Gámez et al. (2010) also implemented open architecture for an industrial robot, Staubli RX60, proving its advantage for testing sensor based concepts in robotics research, and more generalized and conceptual approaches have been proposed looking towards a generic and universal architecture design methodology for robotics manipulators (Hassan, et al., 2010; Short & Burn, 2011).

This report documents the integration of the dSpace 1103 controller card with in-house built signal adapters and servo-amplifiers to a Mitsubishi Movemaster RV-M1 manipulator, Figure 1, the most widely used manipulator in academia regardless its limited functionality (Kumar, Kalra & Prakash, 2011). It is vertical-type serial manipulator with five degrees of freedom, with five DC servomotors and 1.2 kg payload capacity (Mitsubishi Electric Corp., 1989). The primary goal of this project is to allow the testing of some type of nonlinear control including a future teleoperation of the robot, in order to strength the teaching of robotics in academia and industry with this manipulator beyond the typical kinematic point-to-point interface (Świder, et al., 2007; Foit, 2008). The hardware integration is tested with the gravity-compensation control law implementation.

Figure 1
Movemaster RV-M1 joints identification
2. Methodology

2.1. Architecture modification

The original manufacturer controller for the RV-M1 is a black-box type one that allows only point-to-point task based on joint-to-joint control (linear). The scheme is depicted in Figure 2. The new architecture development is presented as follows. There are five analog servo amplifier cards to amplify the DC input voltage ranging from -10 V to 10 V coming from the dSpace 1103 card. The input signal is bounded to -10V to 10V, required for operation of the robot servomotors. The maximum current capability is 3 A. For the digital signals distribution it was required an adapter with an entry type IEEE 1284 Centronics-36-pin connector and five outputs (one per motor), each one is a serial connector type DB15HD-2Rows to be connected to the CP 1103 led panel. The connector also distributes the limit-switch and ground signals.

2.1.A Power

Five servo-amplifier cards were built to scale the DC control voltage signal (ranging from -10V to +10V) coming from the DS 1103 card, to the right value for the motor operation within the range [12, 24]V. It also changes the turn sense by changing motor polarity when the control signal changes. Five cards were built. Each card possesses three stages explained next.
Control signal separation stage. At this stage, Figure 3, two data are extracted from the control signal. They are DC voltage magnitude and the direction or turning sense. The voltage magnitude is the absolute value of the control signal, MAG. The turning direction, DIR, is set as 0V for the negative sense (counter-clockwise), and +5V when the turning sense is along the opposite direction.

Pulse Width Modulation stage-PWM. At this stage, Figure 4, the integrated LM555 generates a pulses train with amplitude depends on the MAG signal value and from the current through the motor.

Voltage scaling and turning sense control stage. At this stage, Figure 5, the PWM signal reaches a 12VDC H-bridge circuit when active. The motor turning sense will depend on the DIR signal value. The current through the motor exits this stage as the IMOM signal.

The components of the servo-amplifier card shown in Figure 6(a) are presented next.

**DriH1**: The H driver or bridge H is the power control integrated circuit.

**OPAM1**: It is the set of operational servo-amplifiers where the current motor flows through.
OR: It is the integrated circuit for the H driver control with PWM output signal.
TEMP: It is a timer that controls the PWM frequency sent to the motors.
DIR: It is an operational servo-amplifier where the motor turning sense is obtained from the analog signal.
ISEN: It is an operational amplifier to adjust the maximum current level for the motors. It was set 3A. Figure 6(b) depicts the five servo-amplifier cards disposition in the power box.

2.1.8 Signals adapter
A signal adapter box was built from scratch. The digital signals are taken from the robot RV-M1 through a IEEE 1284/Centronics-36pin, as indicated in the schematic in Figure 7. The signals are distributed from the IEEE 1284 to five serial connectors DBH15HD-2 rows, one connector for each motor. The connector also uses the ground pin and the limit switch sensors of each robot, required for the homing procedure (or zero joint angle position). Figure 8 shows the built signal box aspect.

Figure 5
Voltage scaling and turning sense control
2.1.C Power connector
Mitsubishi RV-M1 power output is through a Centronics-50 pin x 2 row connector, as shown in Figure 9(a). It was also constructed the cable-connector for the power of the five servomotors. In addition, the cable also carries the power for the robot hand, and the brakes for the shoulder and the arm, labeled as M2 and M3 in the Figure 9(b) schematic.

2.1.D Brake synchronization card
Motors 2 and 3 for the shoulder and arm, respectively, are labeled as terminals Brake-2 and Brake-3 in the schematic of Figure 9(b). Each brake is activated with a digital signal from the DS1103 dSpace card through a servo-amplifier card. This circuit, shown in Figure 10, isolates the input current to protect the DS1103 card with an optocoupler circuit. Brake-2 and Brake-3 are normally closed and deactivated when the zero value 0 enters port 2.

Figure 6
Printed circuit for the servo-amplifier card
Figure 11 presents the final disposition for the whole system.

2.2. Control Scheme
Among the basic control strategies available for pure position control of manipulators, the proportional-derivative PD law guarantees the position control of robots without gravitational terms in the dynamic model (Kelly y Santibáñez, 2003). However, being the RV-M1 a vertical robot, a PD strategy with gravity compensation control law is selected. It is then required the knowledge of only a part of the manipulator dynamic model to calculate the gravitational torque, \( G \). The control law is depicted in Figure 12 and is given by equation (1) (Takegaki & Arimoto, 1981; Kelly y Santibáñez, 2003), where \( \tau \): control torque; \( K_p \): proportional gain; \( K_d \): derivative gain; \( G \): gravity torque from the dynamic model. The position error and the position error \( \ddot{q} \) are given by equations (2) and (3), respectively, where \( q_{kr} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) is the reference joint variables vector; \( q \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) is the actual position joint variable vector; \( \dot{q}_{kr} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) is the reference joint velocity vector, and \( \dot{q} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) is the actual joint velocity vector.

\[
\tau = K_p e(t) + K_d \dot{e}(t) + G \tag{1}
\]

\[
e(t) = q_{kr}(t) - q(t) \tag{2}
\]

\[
\dot{e}(t) = \dot{q}_{kr}(t) - \dot{q}(t) \tag{3}
\]

Figure 13 presents the Mitsubishi RV-M1 manipulator parameters according with the Crane & Duffy (2008) labelling where \( S_i \): joint vector of the \( i \)-th element; \( a_i \): link vector that connects \( S_i \) and \( S_{i+1} \); \( S_i \): joint distance along vector \( S_i \); \( a_i \): link distance along vector \( a_i \); \( \theta_i \): link angle measured following the right-hand rule along \( a_i \), from \( S_i \) to \( S_{i+1} \); \( \theta_i \): joint angle measured following the right-hand rule along \( S_i \), from \( a_i \) to \( a_{i+1} \); \( \phi = \theta_i \). Mapping equation (1) to the workspace (or tool space) is rapidly done for the velocity through the Jacobian matrix of the manipulator, \( J \). Since \( I \) is not square, \( J \in \mathbb{R}^{6 \times 3} \). It is possible to express the workspace parameters, \( \dot{X} \), as in equation (4) provided that \( \text{rank}(J) = \text{rank}(J^T J) \), where the Jacobian matrix was found to be given by equation (5), where:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
-j_{32} \cdot c_q K_{12} & c_q K_{13} & c_q K_{14} & 0 \\
-c_q \cdot j_{32} & c_q \cdot j_{33} & s_q K_{14} & 0 \\
0 & s_q & s_q & s_{2+3+4} \\
0 & -c_q & -c_q & s_{2+3+4} \\
1 & 0 & 0 & -c_{2+3+4}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\dot{q}_{kr} = [J^T J]^{-1} \dot{X} \tag{4}
\]

\[
J =
\]

On the other hand, the position angles of the vector \( q_{kr} = [\phi_1; \theta_2; \theta_3; \theta_4; \theta_5] \) are calculated from the transformation matrix of the manipulator given by equation (6), where \( \delta_1 = c_q s_{2+3+4} p_z + c_q s_{2+3+4} S_5 + c_q c_{2+3+4} S_4 + c_q c_{2+3+4} S_4 \); \( \delta_2 = -s_q s_{2+3+4} p_z + s_q S_2 \); \( \delta_3 = -c_{2+3+4} p_z - c_{2+3+4} S_5 + s_{2+3+4} S_4 + c_{2+3+4} S_4 \).

**Figure 7**

Signal adapter schematic: IEEE1284(x1) to DB15HD-2 row (x5)
Figure 8
Signal boxes

Source: Own Elaboration.
The reverse kinematic method used to find the angles in equation (6) from the position specification, \( \mathbf{X} \), is based on the technique proposed by Roldán, et al. (2012). The values of \( \mathbf{q} \) and \( \dot{\mathbf{q}} \) are obtained from the encoders. By substituting equation (4) into equation (1) it yields equation (7), and finally the gravitational terms \( \mathbf{G}_i \), \( i = 1, \ldots, 5 \) are given by equation (8), where \( \mathbf{p}_j \) is the position vector of the center of mass of the \( j \)-th element, \( m_j \) is the mass of the \( j \)-th element, and the gravity vector is given by \( \mathbf{g} = [0; 0; g_c] \text{m/s}^2 \), with \( g_c = 9.81 \text{ m/s}^2 \). The gravity torque vector is found to be where \( \mathbf{b}_i \) is the location of the center of mass of each element. The mass values were taken from the robot manual (Mitsubishi Electric Corp., 1989) and presented in Table 2. The CM locations are shown in Figure 15, they were found using SolidWorks and SimMechanis, and compared against the work presented by Bonilla, Parra, & Ruiz (2010) and the dynamic modelling presented by Barraza, et al. (2016) and Barraza y Rúa (2013).

\[
\mathbf{T} = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos\phi s_2 s_3 + s_2 s_5 & -\cos\phi c_2 s_3 + s_2 c_5 & \cos\phi c_2 c_3 + s_2 c_5 \\
\cos\phi c_2 c_3 - s_2 s_5 & -\cos\phi c_2 s_3 + s_2 c_5 & \cos\phi c_2 c_3 - s_2 c_5 \\
s_2 s_3 & -s_2 c_3 & s_2 c_3 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(6)

\[
\mathbf{\tau} = \mathbf{K}_p (\mathbf{q}_R(t) - \mathbf{q}(t)) + \mathbf{K}_d \left[ (\mathbf{J}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{\dot{X}} - \mathbf{\dot{q}}(t) \right] + \mathbf{G}
\]

(7)

\[
\mathbf{G}_i = -\sum_{j=1}^{5} m_j \mathbf{g}^T \frac{\partial p_j}{\partial \mathbf{q}_i}
\]

(8)

**Figure 9**
Integrated power distribution connector
(a) Robot power connector
(b) Power distribution connector

Source: Own Elaboration.
\[
G = g \begin{pmatrix}
0.0 \\
0.0 \\
n_m^2c_2 + m_m^2(a_3c_3 + b_3c_3) + m_m^4(a_3c_3 + a_3^4c_3 + b_4c_3^4) + m_5(a_3c_3 + a_3^4c_3 + S_5c_3^4 + b_5c_3^4) \\
0.0 \\
b_m^2c_2 + m_m^2(a_3c_3 + b_3c_3) + m_m^4(a_3c_3 + a_3^4c_3 + b_4c_3^4) + m_5(a_3c_3 + a_3^4c_3 + S_5c_3^4 + b_5c_3^4) \\
0.0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

As for the choice of the proportional, \(K_P\), and derivative, \(K_D\), gain matrices, they must be symmetric positive definite chose as in equations (10) and (11), respectively, where \(K_P > 0\) and \(K_D > 0\) guaranties stability of the control law (Kelly y Santibañez, 2003).

\[
K_P = \text{diag}[K_{P1}; K_{P2}; K_{P3}; K_{P4}; K_{P5}]
\]

\[
K_D = \text{diag}[K_{D1}; K_{D2}; K_{D3}; K_{D4}; K_{D5}]
\]

**Figure 10**

Brake timing card with circuit with optocoupler circuit

**Figure 11**

New architecture of the robot

Source: Own Elaboration.
Figure 12
PD gravity compensation control law

Source: Own Elaboration.

Table 1
Kinematic parameters of the Mitsubishi RV-M1 manipulator [18]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Joint distance [mm]</th>
<th>Link distance [mm]</th>
<th>Link angle [degrees]</th>
<th>Joint angles [degrees]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>$\theta_{12} = 0$</td>
<td>$\alpha_{12} = 90$</td>
<td>$\phi_1 = *$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_2 = 0$</td>
<td>$a_{23} = 250$</td>
<td>$\alpha_{23} = 0$</td>
<td>$\theta_2 = *$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_3 = 0$</td>
<td>$a_{34} = 160$</td>
<td>$\alpha_{34} = 0$</td>
<td>$\theta_3 = *$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_4 = 0$</td>
<td>$a_{45} = 0$</td>
<td>$\alpha_{45} = 90$</td>
<td>$\theta_4 = *$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_5 = 72$</td>
<td>$a_{56} = 0$</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$\theta_5 = *$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 14
Mitsubishi RV-M1 lengths 1

Table 2
Dynamic parameters of the Mitsubishi RV-M
3. Results

A trajectory that is typical of interior painting of spherical surfaces, shown in Figure 16, was chosen as the kinematic task to exemplify. The simulation was run with a Simulink model, Figure 17. The proportional and derivative matrixes used are $\mathbf{K}_P = \text{diag}([30, 28, 20, 20, 4])*1000$ N m/rad and $\mathbf{K}_D = \text{diag}([3, 5, 7, 5, 3]*100)$ N m s/rad. The workspace position results are shown in Figure 18. After the implementation in the real robot with the open architecture, the real workspace position errors are shown in Figure 19. The steady state position error was found to be within 0.3 mm, considered satisfactory. However, further tuning of $\mathbf{K}_P$ and $\mathbf{K}_D$ might decrease this value.

Source: Own Elaboration.
Source: Own Elaboration.

Figure 17
Simulink model for the gravity compensation law of the RV-M1 robot
Figure 18
Simulation workspace position errors
4. Conclusions

The gravity compensation control method used in this paper is a simple scheme that allows dynamic position control of a vertical type manipulator, as the RV-M1. The method works well if all the exact dynamic robot parameters are known. However, the dynamic parameters of the Movemaster RV-M1 of Universidad del Atlántico might have some differences with respect to the standard parameters due mainly to the effect of aging. The joints friction and its loosing might have increased with time, adding further to the position error and justifying part of the difference between simulation and real test. Additional work for the tuning of the proportional and derivative gains might alleviate this problem. From the academic standpoint, the hardware integration and setup will allow future implementation of many basic dynamic control strategies, for both position and trajectory. This will also add towards the completion of the main long term goal which is a teleoperation laboratory for testing different manipulator control laws.
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