Gender differences in bullying among primary school children
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ABSTRACT:
The article deals with the problem of bullying among children of primary school age on the basis of gender approach. The forms of bullying manifestation, personal characteristics of bullying the participants are investigated. The Multidimensional Peer-Victimization Scale method was used to study the susceptibility to victimization. The sample consisted of 376 schoolchildren aged 10–11 years (198 boys and 178 girls). According to the results of an empirical research, significant gender differences were found in all types of bullying.
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1. Introduction
In modern school, special attention is paid to the problem of creating a psychologically comfortable and safe environment which ensures a full-fledged process of personal socialization, but the contemporary situation of the societal development is characterized by the emergence of new forms of deviant behavior, among which bullying is a particular concern – situations of violence in an educational institution, the so-called «school harassment». In addition, worldwide decline in the level of mental health is often closely linked with the increasing incidence of physical and psychological violence in the family and educational environment.

According to research, more than 70% of children have been subjected to violence in one
form or another. Most frequently (in 75% of cases) violence occurs in the family and school (more than 60% of cases) in the form of beatings, threats, humiliation, neglect and sexual abuse. At the same time, boys are more subjected to physical violence, and girls to contact sexual abuse and to disregard for physical and psychological needs. It is noted that more than 25% of cases of bullying are committed by teachers (Volkova, 2016, Novikova, 2018).

Under Microsoft research, Russia ranks first among the 25 countries in the world in terms of bullying. About 50% of Russian children and young people between the ages of 8 and 17 were subjected to on-line activities that could be described as violent. 49% of respondents are aware of the possibility of harassment in the network, 67% are worried about this, 49% were harassed on-line, 71% - off-line, 86% - both types of violence. Up to 50% of children admit that they have been subjected to violence at least once, and from 2% to 7% - every day. In 2015, the level of victimization among adolescents was 30.2%, and the number of heavy «cyber victims» reached 15.8% (Ortega-Barón, 2018).

It should be noted that the results of cross-cultural studies demonstrate differences in the definition of the concept, perception, forms of bullying manifestation in different cultures (Filatova, 2018).

The term bullying (from the English. Bullying - intimidating) was first used in the United States, where it was understood to be the actions (threats, ridicule, humiliation) that could have a negative effect on a person's psychological state in order to cause fright, resentment, a sense of humiliation. The first definition of bullying was given by the Scandinavian scientist D. Olvejus in 1993, who defined it as a deliberate, systematically repeated aggressive targeted attempts to inflict harm or discomfort, involving inequality of power and strength, leading to victimization in relation to an individual who is unable to defend himself in a given situation (Olweus, 1993).

According to the National Association of US School Workers definition, bulling should be understood as “dynamic and repetitive patterns of verbal and / or nonverbal behavior producing by one or more pupils towards another pupil, and the desire to harm intentionally, and there is a real or apparent difference in strength” (Glazman, 2009 Maunder, 2018). The studies also use the concepts of “horizontal aggression”, “horizontal violence”, “lateral violence”, “lateral hostility”, and “immunity” (Curtis, 2007, Monks, 2009).

In the conditions of intensive development of information and communication technologies, the phenomena of cyberbullying – violence perpetrated on the Internet or cell phone – have become widespread. Cyberbullying is deliberate acts of an aggressive nature, using the Internet or electronic devices which are regularly committed for some time by an individual or group against a victim who does not have the ability to protect himself (Sindy, 2015, Bochavere, 2014).

The mismatch in statuses between the buller and the victim, the hierarchy, as well as the systematic nature of harassment and a combination of its different types (Butovskaya, 2012), the imbalance in the status of the aggressor and the victim, the orientation of the bullying from the more dominant individual to the more subordinate, as well as the regularity of aggressive actions in time are significant indicators of bullying (Farrington, 1993). The object of harassment is primarily children who differ from most classmates by their appearance (anthropological features, accent or speech defects, differences in dress or behavior), they are weak and unable to protect themselves (Butovskaya, 2012).

Various studies emphasize that manifestations of bullying increase from preschool childhood to the time of transition from primary to secondary school and further decrease by the end of adolescence (Sumter, 2015; Huitsing, 2018). In girls, manifestations of bullying decrease from 11% (11-13 years) to 8% (15 years), in boys from 14% (11 years) to 9% (15 years) (Online Bullying Among Youth 8-17 Years Old, 2019).

There is a view that bullying is one of the indicators of the normal process of children’s socialization and the manifestation of competition in their environment, which forms the masculinity of boys and allows children of both sexes to achieve high results in the future (Berdyshev, 2011, Álvarez-García, 2017).

As violence presupposes the existence of a children's community, there are several roles of
As a rule, negative consequences for personal development are common for all participants in bullying, which include the increase in depressive symptoms, suicidal tendencies, post-traumatic stress (Álvarez-García, 2015; Patterson, 2016), disorders of psycho-emotional development (Krivtsova, 2016), the loss of academic motivation leading to abandonment of school visiting. (Oldenburg, 2015), difficulties of adaptation in adulthood, the increased risk of addictive behavior (the use of psychoactive substances, the dependence on virtual reality of computers, eating disorders) (Kretschmer, 2017; Holt, 2015), a low level of satisfaction with life (Sumter, 2015).

For aggressors, the criminalization of behavior is the most common consequence, for victims - health problems, suicides, for bystanders (adult people) - loss of professional or parental competence. However, it should be noted that the manifestations of cruelty in the children's environment are very diverse in degrees of intensity and in types.

There are quite a lot of studies devoted to studying the positions of participants in bullying (Yermolova, 2015; Rigby, 2016), reasons of school harassment (Deryabina, 2017; Pečjak, 2017; Rigby, 2016), factors of bullying (Nesterova, 2018; Butenko, 2015; Yermolova, 2015; Obukhova, 2017; Volikova, 2015; Bochavera, 2013), features of national and ethnic bulling (Yermolova, 2015; Kretschmer, 2017). Recently, the gender differences in bullying has acquired new relevance (Efimova, 2015; Logutova, 2015; Makarova, 2017; Andronnikova, 2017). At the same time, there are very few studies on the characteristics of bullying in primary school. The purpose of the article is to identify the gender differences of this phenomenon among primary school children.

2. Methods
The sample consisted of 376 pupils of secondary schools in Chelyabinsk at the age of 10-11 years, of whom, 198 boys and 178 girls.

For the study of direct and indirect victimization, an adapted technique of The Multidimensional Peer Victimization Scale-24 (MPVS-24) was used, intended to evaluate direct and indirect victimization: 24 forms, victimizing actions. We have studied 5 types of victimization (physical and verbal victimization, social manipulation, social rejection and an attack on property) (Stephen, 2018).

3. Results
The obtained results testify that the majority of schoolchildren have never experienced violence from classmates. Over 75% of children (75.15% of boys and 76.41% of girls) noted that they had never been exposed to aggressive influences; 9.76% of schoolchildren (10.3% of boys and 9.21% of girls) reported that they often experienced violent acts from other children.

Figure 1 shows the data by types of victimization to which the subjects are exposed.

---

**Figure 1**
Exposure to various types of victimization
There are less cases of children physical abuse, but they are more common for boys. Among the studied common forms of boys’ victimization, an attack on property and social manipulation were more detected. Girls are more likely to become victims of social rejection. Table 1 presents various forms of victimization among boys and girls of primary school age.

**Table 1**
Forms of victimization
(M ± m)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bulling Forms</th>
<th>Boys</th>
<th>Girls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kicking</td>
<td>1,27±0,61</td>
<td>0,27±0,45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pushing</td>
<td>0,9±0,57</td>
<td>1,42±0,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ridiculing of appearance</td>
<td>0,88±0,49</td>
<td>1,42±0,50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mocking</td>
<td>1,73±0,55</td>
<td>1,29±0,74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intimidation</td>
<td>1,17±0,59</td>
<td>0,75±0,73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social manipulation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provocation hostility between friends</td>
<td>1,57±0,72</td>
<td>1,16±0,60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UnsUPPORTING the conversation</td>
<td>0,93±0,69</td>
<td>1,47±0,60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attack on property</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breakage of things</td>
<td>1,47±0,54</td>
<td>1,07±0,66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The level of standard deviation indicates a variation in the data which were obtained in both samples, there are also differences between boys and girls in the level of susceptibility to victimization.

Gender indicators of victimization are presented in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Forms of victimization</strong></th>
<th><strong>Never</strong></th>
<th><strong>once</strong></th>
<th><strong>often</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Boys</td>
<td>Girls</td>
<td>Boys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physical</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kicking</td>
<td>78,79</td>
<td>91,57*</td>
<td>4,04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pushing</td>
<td>72,73*</td>
<td>69,10</td>
<td>23,74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Verbal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ridiculing of appearance</td>
<td>75,25</td>
<td>69,10</td>
<td>22,73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mocking</td>
<td>71,21</td>
<td>74,16</td>
<td>5,05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intimidation</td>
<td>72,73</td>
<td>82,02*</td>
<td>19,19*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social manipulation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provocation hostility</td>
<td>74,24</td>
<td>72,47</td>
<td>4,55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>between friends</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsupporting the</td>
<td>75,76</td>
<td>70,79</td>
<td>16,16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conversation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Attack on property</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breakage of things</td>
<td>72,22</td>
<td>74,72</td>
<td>13,13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There were gender differences among all forms of victimization. We present the results of the most pronounced, such as classmates’ kicking, pushing, ridiculing of appearance, mocking, intimidation, provocation hostility between friends, unsupporting the conversation, breakage of things, damage of things, refusal to communication, prohibition of joining the game, rejection of initiation into secrets.

The resulting data showed the differences in forms of physical aggression. Thus, 17.6% of boys are constantly subjected to physical aggression in the form of kicking, and girls often use a more “light” form as pushing (12.92%). There are also differences in the forms of verbal bullying: 12.92% of girls constantly experience ridiculing of their appearance, and boys are faced with mocking, (23.74%) and intimidation (8.08%) by their peers.

Social manipulation among girls is more often expressed in the form of refusal to communicate (16.29%), victimization in the form of setting up other children against the victim is more characterized among boys (21.21%). Boys are more likely to attack property in the form of breakage (14.65%) and damage (13.13%) of things.

Boys are exposed to social isolation more often in the form of a prohibition of joining the game, girls point out that they are refused to communication (16.29%) and initiation into secrets (11.80%).

### 4. Conclusions

In general, the results of the study allow concluding that there are significant gender differences in the susceptibility to bullying for all forms of victimization. At the same time, the violation of the sovereignty of the physical space is more characterized among boys in “rigid” form; girls experience physical bullying in a more “gentle” form. Among boys and girls there are groups of children who systematically experience various forms of physical and psychological bullying, which once again confirms data on the prevalence of violence in educational institutions.

Thus, our results indicate the presence of possible gender differences in the forms of victimization. However, it should be noted that research is needed on wider samples, which allow revealing correlations between the victimization of peers and the personal characteristics of children, the peculiarities of interpersonal relations in a group and relations in the “parents-children” system in order to understand the mechanisms causing violence in educational organizations institutions and preventing this phenomenon.
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